PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION 05PCT012MA See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/JP2005/006843 07.04.2005 09.04.2004 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTIAL CO., LTD. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Facsimile No. Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/006843

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion				
l.	With filed	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under				
	-	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2.	With	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed ation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a.	type of material				
		a sequence listing				
		table(s) related to the sequence listing				
	b.	format of material .				
		in written format				
		in computer readable form				
	c.	time of filing/furnishing				
		contained in the international application as filed.				
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.					
4.	Add	itional comments:				
		•				
1						
l						
	,					
1						

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/006843

Box		ment under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; xplanations supporting such statement		
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-10	_ YES
		Claims		_ NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	2, 4-6	_ YES
		Claims	1, 3, 7-10	_ NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-10	_ YES
		Claims		_ NO
ı				

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP, 2003-265880, A (Sanyo Electric Co., Ltd.), 24 September, 2003 (24.09.03), paragraphs [0012] – [0016] and [0023], Fig. 1

Document 2: JP, 2001-221526, A (Mitsubishi Electric Corp.), 17 August, 2001 (17.08.01), paragraph [0002], Fig. 7

Document 3: JP, 6-257865, A (Hitachi, Ltd.), 16 September, 1994 (16.09.94), paragraph [0011] Document 4: JP, 6-323639, A (Hitachi, Ltd.), 25 November, 1994 (25.11.94), paragraphs [0008] and [0011], Figure 1

The inventions of claims 1 and 3 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of document 1 and document 2 cited in the ISR. It is easy for a person skilled in the art to apply the constitution of document 2 evaluating the superheat from the temperature difference at the outlet and inlet ports of the evaporator and controlling an expansion valve so that this superheat becomes the target superheat, to the device of document 1.

The inventions of claims 7 and 8 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1 and 2 and document 3 cited in the ISR. It is easy for a person skilled in the art to apply the constitution of document 3 detecting the temperature of the cooling medium at the discharge side of the compressor and increasing the opening of the expansion valve if the said cooling medium temperature is higher than a predetermined value, to the device of document 1.

The inventions of claims 9 and 10 do not appear to involve an inventive step in view of documents 1 and 2 and document 4 cited in the ISR. It is easy for a person skilled in the art to apply the constitution of document 4 detecting the discharge pressure of the compressor and reducing the decompression value of an expansion valve if the discharge pressure is high, to the device of document 2.

The inventions of claims 2 and 4-6 are neither described in any of the documents cited in the ISR nor are they obvious to a person skilled in the art.